IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,))
Plaintiff,) Case No. 03-C-3904
V.)) Hon. Robert W. Gettleman
KEVIN TRUDEAU,)
Defendant.)
;))

PLAINTIFF'S POST-HEARING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO HOLD DEFENDANT TRUDEAU IN CONTEMPT FOR VIOLATING THE JUNE 2, 2010 ORDER, INCARCERATE HIM, AND ORDER HIM TO PROVIDE AN ACCOUNTING OF AND TURNOVER ASSETS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABL	E OF AUTHORITIES	.i∡	
l.	INTRODUCTION	1	
II.	TRUDEAU'S "INABILITY TO PAY" DEFENSE FAILS	1	

\/	RFIII	FF.	1	2
	C.	Immediate Coercive Incarction Is the Only Means of Compensating Trudeau's Victims		19
	B.	Executing Against Assets Trudeaur@rols is Not a Feasible Means of Compensating His Victims		.18
	Α	The Court's Order To Pay is Enformable Through Execution or Contempt	15	ı
IV.		RCERATION IS THE ONLYWAY TO COERCE TRUDEAU TO IPLY WITH THE COURT'S ORDER TO PAY	15	5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Published Cases

Aetna Casualty Co. v. Markarian 14 F.3d 346 (1st Cir. 1997)	16-17
Arizona v. California 460 U.S. 605 (1983)	2
Baxter v. Palmigian,0425 U.S. 308 (1976)	5
Central States Fund v. Wintz Properties, Jrl655 F.3d 868 (7th Cir. 1998)	5, 16
CFTC v. Wellington Precious Metals 50 F.2d 1525 (11th Cir. 1992)	16
Chadwick v. Janecka 2 F.3d 597 (3rd Cir. 2002)	3
Chicago Truck Drivers Union v. Brotherhood Labor Leaşi207 F.3d 500 (8th Cir. 2	000)3-4
Dystar Corp. v. Canto1 F. Supp. 2d 48 (D. Mass. 1997)	4
FTC v. Affordable MediaLLC, 179 F.3d 1228 (9th Cir. 1999)	4, 20
FTC v. National Business Consultants, Jr876 F.3d 317 (5th Cir. 2004)	17
FTC v. Trudeaµ567 F. Supp.2d 1016 (N.D. III. 2007)	1-2
FTC v. Trudeaµ572 F.Supp.2d 919 (N.D. III. 2008)	15, 20
FTC v. Trudeaµ579 F.3d 754 (7th Cir. 2009)	2
FTC v. Trudeaµ708 F. Supp.2d 711 (N.D. III. 2010)	15
Gary W. v. Louisian, 2622 F.2d 804 (5th Cir. 1980)	16
Grand Jury Investigation 600 F.2d 420 (3d Cir. 1979)	3
Huber v. Marine Midland Banı61 F.3d 5 (2d Cir. 1995)	2, 16
In re Kademoglou 199 B.R. 35 (N.D. III. 1996)	2
In re Lawrence279 F.3d 1294 (11th Cir. 2002)	20
In re Resource Tech. Corp624 F.3d 376 (7th Cir. 2010)	2, 8, 10, 16
In re Power Recovery Systems, ISS F.2d 798 (1st Cir. 1991)	3-4
Jones v. Lincoln Electric Co188 F.3d 709 (7th Cir. 1999)	15
Maggio v. Zeitz333 U.S. 56 (1948)	2-3, 12
McComb v. Jacksonville Paper C636 U.S. 187 (1949)	16-17

Case: 1:03-cv-03904 Document #: 716 Filed: 07/15/13 Page 5 of 27 PageID #:11638

Moriarty v. Svec & Sons Funeral Home F.3d 710 (7th Cir. 2005)	2
Robbins v. Labar Transportation Corp. 99 F. Supp. 705 (N.D. III. 1984)	17
SEC v.Custable, No. 94 C 3755, 1999 WL 92260 (N.D. III. Feb. 11, 1984)	2, 4, 19
Shillitani v. United States 84 U.S. 364 (1966)	15
State Farm Mutual Automobi le surance Co. v. Abram s ļo. 96 C 6365, 2000 WL 57466 (N.D. III. May 11, 2000) (1966)	6
Trustees of the Chicago Truck DriveS E C v.	

I. INTRODUCTION

If the Court incarcerates Trudeau, then consider the will recover whatever he is able to pay. If the Court declines, Trudeau's tirrits will not receive the compensation this Court ordered, and a decade of litigranti will have been wasted. Nationly, as part of his "asset protection" program, Trudeau moved most of wiealth offshore to Belize, Liechtenstein, Seychelles, Mauritius, and other fishore asset havens that a paractical matter, are beyond the FTC's reach. If the FTC initiated traditional jurdent collection techniques against what little remains domestically, Trudeau will move these tassaed the "shell game" will continue. Civil incarceration represents consumers' only chance for redress.

II. TRUDEAU'S "INABILITY TO PAY" DEFENSE FAILS.

In 2010, the Court ordered Trudeau to compents exictims of his second contempt in this matter. Specifically, the Court wrote: "Trudeauos dered to pay forthwith to plaintiff the sum of \$37,616,161, representing the consumer loss resulting from Trudeau's contumacious and deceptive infomercial marketing of the Weightss Cure book." Order (June 2, 2010) (DE372) at 13-14 ("Order To Pay") (emphasis added in Court already that the FTC has

¹ The Court found Trudeau in contempt thret time in 2004, after he disregarded the Court's order that Trudeau stop sedi"Coral Calcium," a phony cancer cureecOrder (July 1, 2004) (DE55) at 2 ("Accordingly, the court fintheat defendant Kevin Tudeau is in contempt of court[.]"); see alsoFTC v. Trudeau567 F. Supp.2d 1016, 1018 (N.D. III. 2007) ("Despite the prohibition in the 2003 stipulated permanentum;tion against false claims concerning coral calcium, Mr. Trudeau continued to repressent this productured cancer.").

"establish[ed] aprima facieshowing of contempt²." Order (DE535) (Dec. 6, 2012) at 2. Only Trudeau's fatuous "inability toay" defense remains.

A. The Proponent of an "Inability To Pay" Defense Has an Exceptionally Difficult Burden.

Significantly, because the FTC has establish pedina faciecase, the burden "shifts to the defendant to demonstrate why he was unable to comply with the off of v.". Trudeau 567 F. Supp.2d 1016, 1020 (N.D. III. 2003) also SEC v. Custable o. 94 C 3755, 1999 WL 92260, *2 (N.D. III. Feb. 11, 1999) iting United States v. Rylander 60 U.S. 752, 757 (1983)). Only if the defendant satisfies the burden profduction does the burden of persuasion shift back to the complainant, who then must prove the fendant actually has the ability to comply. at *3 (citations omitted). To meet his burden, Trudeaust do more than simply assert an inability to pay. See e.g., In re Kademoglou 199 B.R. 35, 36 (N.D. III. 1996). Trudeau must credibly

"categorically and in detail" why he cannot complyeans that he must show that any purported "present" inability to pay was not self-induced e.g., United States v. Bryan 339 U.S. 323, 330-32 (1950) (noting that a party may be held intempt for failing to produce documents that he does not possess if "he is respitales for their unavailability"); United States v. Seetapu 760 F.2d 601, 605 (7th Cir. 1984) (holding that committed clear error when it declined to hold defendant in contempt; court failed to an accordance with contempt authority governing "those responsible for their own inabitity comply with enforcement orders") (citing Bryan, 339 U.S. at 330-32) (citation omitted) In short, an "inability to comply" defense is

disobeying the order,' end of quotend I want to emphasize theord 'presently.'" PXA:1 at 23:6-11. This is not laggio's holding. See generall Chadwick v. Janecka 2 F.3d 597, 609-12 (3rd Cir. 2002) (analyzin laggio at length). In realit, counsel's "quote" from Maggio is an internal quotation of a 1928 Thi Circuit opinion that appears one of "two lengthy footnotes" surveying "the relevant lover court authorities. Chadwick 12 F.3d at 610 n.12 (citing laggio, 333 at 73-34 nn. 6 & 7) Maggio actually concerns not whether alleged contemnor has a valid defense to contempt, but how long a court carticoe to incarcerate someone it has already found in contempt. See Maggio 333 U.S. at 76. To be precise aggio holds that, "[s] ince it is impossible to succeed in coercing that which is not a person's power to perform, continued incarceration for civil ontempt 'depends upon the ability to contemnor to comply with the court's order." In re Grand Jury Investigation

unavailable to a defendant respons**foli**ehis own inability to comply.See, e.g.Bryan, 339 U.S. at 330-32;

Babenko also refused to answer questi**rengs**arding Trudeau'sontrol over three additional entities she nominally owns (**wh**) again, gives rise to an inference)FOF II.B.1.e.iv.1. The FTC offered additional compredievidence that Trudeau controls Sovereign Trust, N.T. Trading S.A., and Advantage Solutions. FOF II.A.4.c; FOF II.B.2.d. Trudeau offered no contrary evidence.

Finally, Lane admitted that Trudeau cont

Trudeau Approved Products, Tru**SM**arketing, TruStar Production**a**nd several others). FOF II.A.4.a.iii.3.

2. Trudeau Cannot Satisfy His Burden Through Incomplete Financial Records Drawn From a Self-Selded Subset of the Entities He Controls.

The small set of exhibits Trudeau introduced sists almost exclusively of incomplete financial records that do notable offshore entities that Udeau controls through Babenko's

Corp.,¹⁴ but no evidence explaining what physiaasets it holds (and K.T. Corp. owns Trudeau's Ojai, California house, FOF II.B.1.e.ii.1.A). In short, Trudeau's extremely incomplete financial records do not establish

- f KTRN transferred \$4.9 million to **Maral** Cures and more than \$900,000 to IPT. Id.
- f WSU transferred \$1.4 million to Trudeau Approved Products and more than \$600,000 to Natural Cureks.

It is possible, and perhaps even likely, that some of these accounting entities represent the same money moved multiple times (thereby obfuscating its origins), but that in no way lessens

Trudeau's burden to explain where this moise yow – a burden he entirely failed to metal.

Notably, Trudeau also failed to explain at happened to the \$100,000 worth of gold

Finally, the extremely limited personal final and information that Trudeau did offer is useless. First, Trudeau submitted dubious tourne that purportedly show his poverty. FOF III.B.8. These returns are neither credible nor isolenst with Trudeau's lifestyle. Initially, at least \$6 million in federal and state tax liens have been filed against Triodle attrongly suggesting that he previously underted his income to authorities. Furthermore, Lane prepared the returns, and the Court alreadyncluded that an earlier "balandeet" that Lane prepared to demonstrate Trudeau's asserted poverty was whooth the paper it is written on." Mem. Op. (Aug. 7, 2008) (DE157) at 9Most important, Trudeau haspenchant for hiding wealth by creating nominal ownership in another's name tax returns do not stilose such assets, including those disguised as Balke's, or as the property of antifshore trust.

Second, Trudeau relies on his preposterows "fis" financial statement in which, among other things, he refuses to disclose asset treas fie claims to hold only \$4,500 at three banks with "address[es] unknown" to him, and henotes knowing anything about his wife, including her street address, whether she ownsickes, or what other assets she has FIV.J. Trudeau even denies having any persoperty other than \$2,000 worth clothing – although he spent more than \$15,000 in one trip to a high-end smelothier in Zurichonly months before he filed the "sworn" statementld.

domestic entities he owns controls. Fundamentally, thickocument is inadmissible under FRCP 26 and FRE 701-05 because: the eviderthicaring had conclude already; Trudeau never disclosed his purported expende-trial; the FTC dichot have an opportunity to take expert discovery; the Court never qualified the authoansexpert; and the document is an inadmissible report, not opinion testimony.

Notwithstanding its blatant inadmissibility, the pinion does not help Trudeau. First, the purported accountant (Mary O'Com) conly reviewed information heted to Trudeau's domestic entities. PXA:2 at 1 n.1 ("We also soughtcounting information from Global Information Network Foundation (GINF), blevis Foundation. Management GINF declined our request."). Second, Ms. O'Connor based hemiops largely "upon the representations of [Michael] Dow," id. at 2, who serves as WSU's CFO, FOF III.L. Ms. O'Connor did nothing to verify any of the data Dow provided:

We assumed that the financial data upubrich this opinion istruthful and we have accepted its integrity without furthærification. This data and information is considered to be a management espentation upon which we have relied to form our conclusions. This opinion should be construed as an audit of the books and records of the books and records of the books are constructed.

PXA:1 at 2¹⁹ Finally, Ms. O'Connor discusses the caunts "due to and from Mr. and Mrs. Trudeau," and states that "[t]he net amount due from them is \$3,650,1723at'4. This number is almost certainly wrong (and much too low) but even if one suspended disbelief and credited the number, the fact that Trudeau and Babenko hexeeved (net) \$3.6 million from a subset of Trudeau's companies is not evidence supportrudeau's position that his \$54,000 token payment was all that he could pay.

Case: 1:03-cv-03904 Document #: 716 Filed: 07/15/13 Page 17 of 27 PageID #:11650

B. Trudeau Dissipated At Least \$12 million Since the Order To Pay, Meaning That Any Supposed "Poverty" Is Self-Created.

Trudeau spent at least \$12 million since the Court's June 2, 2010 Order To Pay and March of this year: \$5.05 million paid to Lane's firm, FOF IV.E.1, \$1.73 million paid to Winston & Strawnjd., \$2 million paid to fund the escrowcoount so that Trudeau could resume broadcasting infomercialsee suproat 8 n.16, and \$3.28 million in Diner's Club and American Express payments, FOF IV.B.1. In addition to first-class flights and expensive hotels (the Ritz Carlton, the Four Seasonist),, Trudeau's credit card statementsow hundreds of thousands of dollars in more mundane but obviously personneal rges including groceries (often Whole Foods but sometimes Trader Joe'ist),; gym memberships (L.A. Boxing Clubi), salons (Vidal Sassoon)id., and—one week after this Court orderiem to pay the \$37 million judgment—\$4,327.00 for draperieist).

The credit card charges also limbe tens of thousands of both Trudeau spent to appoint his new Swiss residence with luxury goods. FRO.D (more than \$58,000 spent at a Zurich furniture store) jd. (more than \$53,000 spent at another Zurich furniture stidice) more than \$35,000 spent on floor coverings in Zurich). What he doubt charges for things ranging from groceries to internet dating, both rudeau and Babenko invoke thin Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination. FOF IV.B.4. These inations entitle the FTC to an inference that Trudeau could have used those funds to comply with the Order Tost propriate 5 nn. 9-10. As discussed above upraat 2-3 and n.5, an "inability to comply" defense is unavailable to someone who is responsible from own inability to comply see e.g., Bryan, 339 U.S. at 330-32; Seetapun 750 F.2d at 605. Accordingly, because deau has dissipated at least \$12 million since the Court ordered him tompensate his victims, his "inability to pay" contempt defense fails.

²¹ WSU paid a substantial portion the credit card charges at Trudeau incurred after the Order To Pay. FOF IV.B.4. Additionally, tho Trudeau and Babenko "took the Fifth" when asked whether companies Babenko owned paid the charges Trudeau are after the Order To Payid., entitling the FTC to an inference that money used to pay Trudeau's credit card bills could have been used to pay with the Court's Order To PaySee suprate 5 nn. 9-10.

C. Trudeau is Dishonest and Engaged in Calculated "Asset Protection" Effort Designed To Hide Assets From the FTC.

The FTC introduced communications from the FTC introduced communications from the FTC:

- Lane advised Trudeau thaternational PooTour ("IPT") "is subject to the claims for your creditors, including the FTC. For that reason, you should maintain only minimal cash (or other asset IPT or any company you own." FOF III.B.2 (emphasis added). Lane contid: "It may make sense for me to assume a greater role in cash manage, the part "to maximize such asset protection opportunities[.]" Id.
- f Lane advised Trudeau "that Trustar Mating, and not Trudeau Management, own the domain name registration and othellectual property relating to the [KTRN] radio show," because "[y]ou owTrudeau Management directly and, as such, all of its assets are subjecthe FTC's claim." FOF III.B.3.
- f Lane advised Trudeau to "stay away from Asia Trust Limited," because, in other cases, Asia Trust Limited had "cawe'dand "turned over . . . assets . . . to the FTC[.]" FOF III.B.4.
- f Lane advised Trudeau regarding "openi

Case: 1:03-cv-03904 Document #: 716 Filed: 07/15/13 Page 20 of 27 PageID #:11653

This really isn't a judgment. This is **a**ntempt order. This is an order to pay. So when you talk about citations and talk rest of it, wage deductions and all that, it doesn't really apply Inc. This is an order to pa And that's the order that

enforce an order based on a serious violatifuthe nation's most comprehensive consumer protection law, the FTC Act. When – as heree court issues an order pay that furthers "public policies embodied in [a] statutory scheinthe order to pay necessarily directs that enforcement alternatives include contempleeMarkarian, 114 F.3d at 349 n.4.

Under FRCP 69(a)(1), the Federal Debtlecotion Procedures Act, 28 U.S.C. § 300fl, seq, also presents an alternative means purstoamhich the FTC theoretically could execute against Trudeau's assets. Specifically, FRCPa)(59) provides that the procedure for enforcing a money judgment is governed by that wo fithe state where the urt is located, "but a federal statute governs to the extentipiplies." The FDCPA is such federal statute because it "provides the exclusive ivil procedures for the United Statesto... recover a judgment on a debt," 28 U.S.C. § 3001(a)(1), includificateral agencies such as the FSCe e.g.FTC v. Nat'l Business Consultants, lp.676 F.3d 317, 320 (5th Cir. 2004) Most important, the FDCPA does not "supersede or modify..the authority of a court... texercise the power of contempt under any Federal law." 28 U.S.C. § 3003(c)(8)(60) phasis added). Accordingly, the FDCPA explicitly does not lessen or alther Court's contempt power.

judgment at issue implicated ational labor plicies") (citing Jacksonville Paper 336 U.S. at 194-95); Goddard Sys., Inc. v. Tyson

B. Execution Against Assets Trudeau Controls Is Not a Feasible Means of Compensating His Victims.

Trudeau has carefully dispersed his assetsing multiple entities, almost none of which he owns directly, and most of which he stratethy placed overseas in asset protection havens. For instance:

- f Trudeau controls GIN FDN, which is granized in Nevis. FOF II.A.2.b. APC is the sole member of GIN FDN's "management boatd." FOF II.B.2.a.ii.
- APC is organized in Belize. FOF II.2Ad. Trudeau controls APC, but Babenko nominally owns it.Id.; FOF II.B.2.a.
- f WSS is organized in Switzerland. F**0**FA.2.f. Trudeau controls WSS, but APC owns it. Id.; FOF II.B.2.a.vi.
- f NBT is organized in Hong Kong. FOFA.2.g. Trudeau controls NBT, but APC owns it. Id.; FOF II.B.2.a.vii.
- f Sovereign Trust is organized in the ok Islands. FOF II.A.4.c.i. Trudeau controls Sovereign Trust, although APC is the sole beneficlary FOF II.B.2.d.
- f N.T. Trading is organized in PanamaOF II.A.4.c.ii. Trudeau controls N.T. Trading, although Sovereign Trust ownslid.; FOF II.B.2.d.
- f KMT Fiduciary Trust is organized in Maritius. FOF II.A.4.a.iii. Although Trudeau controls KMT, Trudeaupsarents and brother are nominal beneficiaries. FOF II.B.2.b.
- f Advantage Solutions is organized iny Sheelles. FOF II.A.4.c.iii. Trudeau controls Advantage Solutions, althor Babenko nominally owns it. FOF II.B.2.d.

Despite evidence that Trudeau constructor of these offshore entities suprated 4-6, the FTC has no practical way to execute against their assets.

Trudeau does control various domestic enstituent repeatedly as instructed his associates to move cash offshore as quickly as possible. FOF III.D. In fact, Trudeau now contends that his domestic entities have not as set though this claim is dubious, if the FTC were to attempt to execute against, for instalmote, national Pool Tour (PT"), the effort would

²⁹ In fact, given Trudeau's effoto hide assets, it is velikely that neither the FTC nor the Court has a complete picture of the compatine deau controls or the assets they hold.

not lead to any meaningful compensation for

even refused to provide his own asserted expiritancess to GIN FDN's financial recordsee PXA:2, Opinion of M. O'Connor at 1 n.1 ("Wadso sought accounting information from Global Information Network Foundation (GINF), a Neviosundation. Management of GINF declined our request.") (emphasis added). Furthermore, unless Trudeau is incarcerated, he will move any assets an accounting reveals, and consumit reservo closer to receiving compensation.

Notably, the absence of feasible alternations shall alternations shall be incarcerated contemnors in very similar case see.g, FTC v. Affordable Media 179 F.3d 1228, 1241-42 (9th Cir. 1999) (incarcerating contemnors Dengas Michael Anderson until they repatriated offshore assets see also FOF III.B.4 (email from Lane warning Trudeau to avoid a particular offshore trust company that "turned over Anedersons' assets . . . to the FTC").

The FTC has presented correspondence integral rudeau's "asset protection planning," evidence demonstrating that undeau controls assets through wife's nominal ownership, emails from Trudeau ordering subordinates town assets offshore, and Trudeau's "credit[ing] the offshore structure for the relatively favorabetellement to which the FTC previously agreed [in 2004]." FOF III.B.6. In these circumstances entirely of Trudeau's own making — there is no alternative ton carceration. See e.g., Affordable Media 179 F.3d at 1240-42 ("The asset protection' aspect of these foreign trusts arises the ability of people . . . to frustrate and impede the United States courts by moving the best beyond those courts' jurisdictions"; incarcerating contemnors until they repatriated offshore assets);

V. <u>RELIEF</u>

Trudeau is a triple contemnor who will noommply with the Court's order that he compensate his victims unless the Court folions Accordingly, the FTC asks the Court to

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jonathan Cohen, hereby certifyathon July 15, 2012, I caused to be served true copies of the foregoing by elements means, by filing such documents through the Court's Electronic Case Filing System, white will send notification of such filing to: