

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

In the Matter of:

**Network Solutions, LLC, a limited
liability company.**

File No. 132 3084

AGREEMENT CONTAINING CONSENT ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission has conducted an investigation of certain acts and practices of Network Solutions, LLC, a limited liability company (“proposed respondent”). Proposed respondent, having been represented by counsel, is willing to enter into an agreement containing a consent order resolving the allegations contained in the attached draft complaint. Therefore,

It is hereby agreed by and between Network Solutions, LLC, by its duly authorized officers, and counsel for the Federal Trade Commission that:

1. Proposed respondent is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal office or place of business at 12808 Gran Bay Parkway West, Jacksonville, Florida 32258.
2. Proposed respondent waives:
 - a) Any further procedural steps;
 - b) The requirement that the Commission’s decision contain a statement of findings of fact and conclusions of law; and
 - c) All rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the order entered pursuant to this agreement.
3. This agreement shall not become part of the public record of the proceeding unless and until it is accepted by the Commission. If this agreement is accepted by the Commission, it, together with the draft complaint, will be placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days and information about it publicly released. The Commission thereafter may either withdraw its acceptance of this agreement and so notify proposed respondent, in which event it will take such action as it may consider

appropriate, or issue and serve its complaint (in such form as the circumstances may require) and decision in disposition of the proceeding.

4. Proposed respondent neither admits nor denies any of the allegations in the draft complaint, except as specifically stated in this order. Only for purposes of this action, proposed respondent admits the facts necessary to establish jurisdiction.

5. This agreement contemplates that, if it is accepted by the Commission, and if such acceptance is not subsequently withdrawn by the Commission pursuant to the provisions of Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules, the Commission may, without further notice to proposed respondent, (1) issue its complaint corresponding in form and substance with the attached draft complaint and its decision containing the following order in disposition of the proceeding, and (2) make information about it public. When so entered, the order shall have the same force and effect and may be altered, modified, or set aside in the same manner and within the same time provided by statute for other orders. The order shall become final upon service. Delivery of the complaint and the decision and order to proposed respondent's address as stated in this agreement by any means specified in Section 4.4(a) of the Commission's Rules shall constitute service. Proposed respondent waives any right it may have to any other manner of service. The complaint may be used in construing the terms of the order. No agreement,

understanding or representation of any kind is made by the Commission or the respondent.

ORDER

Definitions

1. “**Clearly and Conspicuously**” means:
 - a) In textual communications, the disclosure must be in a noticeable type, size, and location, using language and syntax comprehensible to an ordinary consumer;
 - b) In communications disseminated orally or through audible means, the disclosure must be delivered in a volume, cadence, language, and syntax sufficient for an ordinary consumer to hear and comprehend them;
 - c) In communications disseminated through video means: (i) written disclosures must be in a form consistent with definition 1(a), above, and appear on the screen for a duration sufficient for an ordinary consumer to read and comprehend them, and be in the same language as the predominant language that is used in the communication; and (ii) audio disclosures must be consistent with definition 1(b), above; and
 - d) The disclosure cannot be combined with other text or information that is unrelated or immaterial to the subject matter of the disclosure; no other representation(s) may be contrary to, inconsistent with, or in mitigation of, the disclosure.
2. “**Commerce**” has the meaning defined in Section 4 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

3. Unless otherwise specified, “**respondent**” means Network Solutions, LLC, a limited liability company, and its successors and assigns.

4. “**Web hosting**” means a service offered for sale or sold by respondent primarily designed to allow respondent’s customers to make webpages available on the

”, ncaynponde,nd (vTjv[d turA-(g)10(nD e)4(s)-1v()4(d t)-6(h)-o4(n r)3(d w)2(ior)3(e)-6(e)4(s)-1(a-1(pon

I.

It is ordered that respondent, and its officers, agents, representatives, and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device, in connection with the advertising, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of web hosting, in or affecting commerce, shall not, in any manner:

A. Fail to disclose, clearly and conspicuously, before obtaining a customer's billing information, the material terms of any applicable money back guarantee, including but not limited to the existence and amount of any service charges or other fees applicable to any such money back guarantee; or

B. Fail to refund the full purchase price paid for web hosting in conjunction with a money back guarantee, in response to a request that complies with the terms of such a money back guarantee; provided, however, that service charges or other fees may be excluded from refunds made pursuant to a money-back guarantee if the fact of the exclusion of such fees is disclosed clearly and conspicuously and in close proximity to the money-back guarantee.

II.

It is further ordered that respondent, and its officers, agents, representatives, and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device,

A. Accounting records showing the revenues from and refunds paid for web hosting sold in conjunction with a money back guarantee;

B. Records of all written customer complaints concerning money back guarantees for web hosting, whether received directly or indirectly, such as through a third party, and any response;

C. Records necessary to demonstrate full compliance with each provision of this order, including all submissions to the Commission; and

D. A copy of each unique advertisement concerning money back guarantees for web hosting.

IV.

It is further ordered that, for three (3) years after service of this order, respondent shall deliver a written or electronic copy of this order to all officers, directors, LLC managers and members, and to all employees, agents, and representatives having responsibilities with respect to money back guarantees for web hosting, and shall secure from each such person a signed and dated statement acknowledging receipt of the order,

NW, Washington, DC 20580. The subject line must begin: *In re Network Solutions, LLC, File No. 1323084.*

VI.

It is further ordered that respondent, within sixty (60) days after the date of service of this order, shall file with the Commission a true and accurate report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form of its own compliance with this order. Within ten (10) business days of receipt of written notice from an authorized representative of the Commission, respondent shall submit additional true and accurate written reports.

VII.

This order will terminate twenty (20) years from the date of its issuance, or twenty (20) years from the most recent date that the United States or the Federal Trade Commission files a complaint (with or without an accompanying consent decree) in federal court alleging any violation of the order, whichever comes later; provided, however, that the filing of such a complaint will not affect the duration of:

- A. Any Part in this order that terminates in less than twenty (20) years;
- B. This order's application to any respondent that is not named as a defendant in such complaint; and
- C. This order if such complaint is filed after the order has terminated pursuant to this Part.

Provided, further, that if such complaint is dismissed or a federal court rules that the respondent did not violate any provision of the order, and the dismissal or ruling is either not appealed or upheld on appeal, then the order will terminate according to this Part as though the complaint had never been filed, except that the order will not terminate between the date such complaint is filed and the later of the deadline for appealing such dismissal or ruling and the date such dismissal or ruling is upheld on appeal.

Network Solutions, LLC

Date: _____

By: _____

Matthew P. McClure, as an officer of
Network Solutions, LLC

Date: _____

M. Howard Morse
Cooley LLP
Attorney for respondent

Federal Trade Commission

Date: _____

James E. Evans
Shameka L. Walker
Counsel for the Federal Trade Commission