adds several defendants countries are lief defendant countries Complaint and 1 Amended Complaint allege that Defentla acting as a common enterprise, 2 violated Section 5 of the Federal TeaCommission Act (FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. 3 § 45; Section 4 of the Restore Online Oppers' Confidence ("ROSCA"), 15 4 U.S.C. § 8404; and Section 917(c)tlode Electronic Funds Transfer Act 5 ("EFTA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1693o(c). 6 The FTC having filed its Second Motion Entry of Default Judgment and 7 Order for Permanent Injunction and Othequitable Relief (Motion), and the 8 Court having considered the FTC's Motion, supporting exhibits, and the 9 Supplement to these filings (Dkt. 486)e FTC's Motion is hereby granted. 10 THEREFORE, IT ISORDERED as follows: 11 12 **FINDINGS** "The general rule of law is that upodefault the factual allegations of the 1. 13 complaint, except those relating to theorem of damages, will taken as true." 14 , 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987). SeTVeSx In. vHall 15 This Court is satisfied that Deflaing Defendants were properly served 2. 16 with the First Amended Complaint: 17 a. Defendants AMD Financial Networkac.; Focus Media Solutions, Inc.; 18 Secured Commerce LLC; USM Prodsidnc.; Merchant Leverage 19

Group, Inc.; DMA Media Holdings, IncShalita Holdings, Inc.; and All

[PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION

20

AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

- Star Beauty Products, Inc. were weed as described in the Proofs of Service filed with the Clerk of theourt. (Dkts.406, 373, 376, 379, 374, 372, 378, 371); and
- b. Defendants BunZai Media Group, InPinnacle Logistics, Inc.; DSA Holdings, Inc.; Lifestyle Media Bands, Inc.; Agoa Holdings, Inc.; Safehaven Ventures, Inc.; Haaige Alliance Group, Inc.; AMD Financial Network, Inc.SBM Management, Inckai Media, Inc.; and Insight Media, Inc. were serveldrough their officer, managing or general agent, or other agent authend by appointment or by law, as acknowledged at Dkt. 486.
- 3. None of the Defaulting Defendants has swered or otherwise appeared in this action.
  - a. The Clerk of the Court has ented a Default against Secured Commerce, LLC, on May 5, 2016 (Dkt. 418).
  - b. The Clerk of the Court has enter peraults against BunZai Media Group, Inc.; Pinnacle Logistics, Inc.; DSA Holdings, Inc.; Lifestyle Media Brands, Inc.; Agoa Holding Inc.; Safehaven Ventures, Inc.; Heritage Alliance Groupinc.; SBM Management, Inc.; Kai Media, Inc.; Media Urge, Inc.; and Insight Median, on July 18, 2016. (Dkts. 504, 505, and 506).

- Complaint (Dkt. 486 at 2) but failed toppaear or defend. The lerk of the Court
- 2 entered a Default against thisf Decant on July 18, 2016. (Dkt. 504).
- 3 12. Pinnacle Logistics, Inc.was a California corporation with its principal
- 4 place of business at the same locatio Brans Zai Media Group, Inc. (Dkt. 235 at
- 5 | p.8 ¶10). This Defendant was property ved with Plaintiff's Summons and
- 6 Amended Complaint (Dkt. 486 at 2) but fatilto appear or defend. The Clerk of
- 7 the Court entered a Default against the federal to July 18, 2016. (Dkt. 506).
- 8 13. DSA Holdings, Inc. was a California corporation its principal place of
- g business at the same location as Pinnachestics, Inc. (Dkt. 235 at p.8 ¶11).
- 10 This Defendant was properly serveith Plaintiff's Summons and Amended
- 11 Complaint (Dkt. 486 at 2) but failed toppear or defend. The lerk of the Court
- 12 entered a Default against thisf endant on July 18, 2016. (Dkt. 504).
- 13 14. Lifestyle Media Brands, Inc. was a California corporation with its
- 14 principal place of business at the saloneation as BunZai Media Group, Inc.
- 15 (Dkt. 235 at p.9 ¶12). This Defendamas properly served with Plaintiff's
- 16 Summons and Amended Complaint (Dkt. 482) about failed to appear or defend.
- 17 The Clerk of the Court entered a Defautainst this Defendant on July 18, 2016.
- 18 (Dkt. 505).
- 19 15. Agoa Holdings, Inc.was a California corporation with its principal place
- of business at the same location aux Bai Media Group, Inc. (Dkt. 235 at p.9)

¶13). This Defendant was properly veed with Plaintiff's Summons and 1 Amended Complaint (Dkt. 486 at 2) but failte appear or defend. The Clerk of 2 the Court entered a Default against **Diefendant** on July 18, 2016. (Dkt. 504). 3 16. Safehaven Ventures, Incwas a California corporation with its principal 4 place of business at the same locatio Baszai Media Group, Inc. (Dkt. 235 at 5 p.10 ¶15). This Defendant was property with Plaintiff's Summons and 6 Amended Complaint (Dkt. 486 at 2) but failted appear or defend. The Clerk of 7 the Court entered a Default against **Def**endant on July 18, 2016. (Dkt. 505). 8 17. Heritage Alliance Group, Inc. also doing business as AuraVie 9 Distribution, was a California corporation its principal place of business at 10 the same location as BunZai MediaoGp, Inc. (Dkt. 235 at p.10 ¶16). This 11 Defendant was properly served will aintiff's Summons and Amended 12 Complaint (Dkt. 486 at 2) but failed toppear or defend. The lerk of the Court 13 entered a Default against this feedant on July 18, 2016. (Dkt. 504). 14 AMD Financial Network, Inc. was a California corporation with its 18. 15 principal place of business at the salonce tion as BunZai Media Group, Inc. 16 (Dkt. 235 at p.11 ¶17). This Defendamas properly served with Plaintiff's 17 Summons and Complaint (Dkt. 406) but faile appear or defend. The Clerk of 18 the Court entered a Default against the Endant on August, 2016. (Dkt. 525). 19 20

SBM Management, Inc.was a California corpation. (Dkt. 235 at p.11 ¶2mJet p.11 10 [PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

the Court entered a Default against Defendant on August, 2016. (Dkt. 526). 28. Shalita Holdings, Inc. was a California corporation its principal place of business at the same location aux Bai Media Group, Inc. (Dkt. 235 at p.16) ¶30). This Defendant was properly weed with Plaintiff's Summons and Amended Complaint (Dkt. 486 at 3) but failted appear or defend. The Clerk of the Court entered a Default against Defendant on Agust 10, 2016. (Dkt. 527). All Star Beauty Products, Inc. was a California corporation with its principal place of business at the saloncation as BunZai Media Group, Inc. (Dkt. 235 at p.16 ¶31). This Defendamas properly served with Plaintiff's Summons and Amended Complaint (Dkt. 486) about failed to appear or defend. The Clerk of the Court entered a Deltagainst this Deltagant on August 10, 2016. (Dkt. 527). COMMERCE At all times material to this Complain Defendants maintained a substantial 30. course of trade in or affecting commerae, "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44. (Dkt. 235 at p.23 ¶44). Defendants' Internet skincare product business was marketed sold products, to consumers nationwide. (Dkt. 235 at p.5 ¶2).

COMMON ENTERPRISE

- 31. The Court finds that Defaulting Defenta participated as members of a common enterprise and:
  - a. conducted the business practicessatie through an interrelated network of companies with common ownershipfficers, managers, business functions, employees and office locations (Dkt. 235 at p.22 ¶42); and
  - b. the companies commingled funds, ed the same deceptive sales techniques, and had centralized **recke**eping. (Dkt. 235 at p.22 ¶50).
- 32. These allegations, taken as true, less that, as members of a common enterprise, Defaulting Defendants violatection 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), Section 4 of ROSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 8403, and Section 907(a) of EFTA, 15
- U.S.C. § 1693e(a). (Dkt. 235 at p.6 ¶3 and p.22 ¶42).

## DEFAULTING DEFENDANTS' LAW VIOLATIONS

- 33. The Court finds that, in connection with online sale of skincare products through a negative option continuith and as members of a common enterprise, Defaulting Defendants violated tion 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), by failing to disclose, or diese adequately, riterial terms and conditions of their offer, including:
- a. that Defendants would use consumeredit and debit card information to charge consumers the full cost of the trial products upon expiration of [PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

a limited trial period (Dkt. 235 at p.36 ¶71(a)); b. the dates on which the trial pedi began and ended (Dkt. 235 at p.36 ¶71(b)); c. that Defendants would automatically roll consumers in a negative option continuity plan with additional additional arges (Dkt. 235 at p.36 ¶71(c)); d. the cost of the continuity plannd the frequency and duration of the recurring charges (Dkt. 235 at p.36 ¶71(d)); e. the means consumers must to cancel the negative option program to [PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION

AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

- 1 | 37. The Court finds that, in connection with online sale of skincare products
- 2 through a negative option continuity an and as members of a common
- 3 enterprise, Defaulting Defendants viola ction 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C.
- 4 | § 45(a), by falsely representing, expressal by implication, that Defendants are
- 5 accredited by and have a rating of "Awith the Better Business Bureau. (Dkt.
- 6 235 at p.37-38 ¶76-78).
- 7 38. Accordingly, the Court finds Defating Defendants liable under Count 3 bf
- 8 the Amended Complaint. (Dkt. 235 at 37).
- 9 39. The Court finds that, in connection with online sale of skincare products
- 10 through a negative option continuits and as members of a common
- 11 enterprise, Defaulting Defendants viola Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C.
- 12 | §§ 45(a) and (n) by having caused charges to submitted for payment to the credit
- and debit cards of consumers without the ipress informed consent. (Dkt. 235 at
- 14 p.38 ¶79-81).
- 15 40. Accordingly, the Court finds Defating Defendants liable under Count 4 bf
- 16 the Amended Complaint. (Dkt. 235 at 38).
- 17 41. The Court finds that, in connection with online sale of skincare products
- 18 through a negative option continuity an and as members of a common
- 19 enterprise, Defaulting Defendants viældtSection 4 of ROSCA, 15 U.S.C.
- 20 \ \ \ 8403, by failing to:

[PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

1	b. debiting consumers' bank accounts on a recurring basis without	
2	providing a copy of a written ahubrization signed or similarly	
3	authenticated by the consumer foreauthorized electronic fund	
4	transfers from the consumedscount. (Dkt. 235 at p.42 ¶94).	
5	44. Accordingly, the Court finds Defating Defendants liable under Count 6	f
6	the Amended Complaint. (Dkt. 235 at 42).	
7	PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
8	45. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act authizes courts to issue a permanent	
9	injunction whenever a defendant viælatthe laws enforced by the Commission	
10	and is likely to continue to violate then TC vH.N. SigIn ., 668 F.2d 1107	
11	1111 (9th Cir. 1982);	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
	[PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF	

50. 

[PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

FAGE 18

B. "Charge" or "Charging" means causing billing information to be submitted for payment, including against consumer's credit card, debit card, bank account, phone bill, on ther account, or otherwise attempting to collect money or other consideration.

- C. "Clear and conspicuous means that a required disclosure is difficult to miss (e, easily noticeable) and easily undersdable by ordinary consumers, including in all of the following ways:
- 1. In any communication that is sole/ijsual or solely audible, the disclosure must be made throughe same means through which the communication is presented. In anymmounication made through both visual and audible means, such as a televisidimentisement, the disclosure must be presented simultaneously in both this and audible portions of the communication even if the representation of the disclosure is made in only one means.
- 2. A visual disclosure, by its size, contrast, location, the length of time it appears, and other characteristics, retent out from any ccompanying text or other visual elements so that it is easily noticed, read, and understood.
- 3. An audible disclosure, includingly telephone or streaming video, must be delivered in a volume, speadd cadence sufficient for ordinary consumers to easily hear and understand it.

- [PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

- 4. In any communication using an inaetive electronic medium, such as the Internet or software, tdisclosure must be unavoidable.
- 5. On a product label, the disclosumest be presented on the principal display panel.
- 6. The disclosure must use diction and syntax understandable to ordinary consumers amounts appear in each language which the representation that requires the disclosure appears.
- 7. The disclosure must comply withese requirements in each medium through which it is received, including electronic devices and face-to-face communications.
- 8. The disclosure must not be condicted or mitigated by, or inconsistent with, anythinglse in the communication.
- 9. When the representation or salesquice targets a specific audience, such as children, the elderly, or themenally ill, "ordinary consumers" includes reasonable members of that group.
- D. "Credit Card Laundering" means:
- 1. Presenting or depositing into, or causing or allowing another to present or deposit into, the credit cardteyn for payments Credit Card Sales

  Draft generated by a transaction thatos the result of a credit card transaction between the cardholdend any Defendant;

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 3. Obtaining access to the credit cardstem through the use of a business relationship or an affiliation with Merchant, when such access is not authorized by the Merchant Account agreement or the appliable credit card system.
- E. "Credit Card Sales Draft" means any record or everdice of a credit card transaction.
- F. "Continuity Plan" means any plan, arrangement, or system in which a consumer is periodically harged for products or services prior notification by the seller before each charge.
- G. "Defendants' means Defaulting Defendas and Alon Nottea, Motti Nottea, Doron Nottea, Igor Latsanovs@iz Mizrahi, Roi Reweni, Paul Medina, Alan Argaman, ad CalEnergy, Inc.
- H. "Defaulting Defendants' means all of the Defaulting Corporate Defendants, individually, collectely, or in any combination.
- 1. "Defaulting Corporate Defendants" means BunZai Media Group, [PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

Inc.; Pinnacle Logistics, Inc.; DSA Holotijs, Inc.; Lifestyle Media Brands, Inc. Agoa Holdings, Inc.; Safehaven Venturbac.; Heritage Alliance Group, Inc.; SBM Management, Inc.; Medlarge, Inc.; Kai Media, Io.; Insight Media, Inc.; Secured Commerce, LLC; Shalita Holdingac.; USM Products, Inc.; All Star Beauty Products, Inc.; AMD Financibletwork, Inc.; DMA Media Holdings, Inc.; Focus Media Solutions, Inc.; and Meant Leverage @up, Inc.; and their successors and assigns.

- I. "Electronic Fund Transfer" means any transfer of funds, other than a transaction originated by check, draft, similar paper instrument, which is initiated through an electronic terminal, telephonic instrument, or computer or magnetic tape so as to order, instructa athorize a financial institution to debit or credit an account. Such term include in pof-sale transfers, automated teller machine transactions, direct deposits with drawals of funds, and transfers initiated by telephone. Sucherm does not include:
  - 1. Any check guarantee or authorizzatiservice that does not directly result in a debit or credit to a consumer's account;
- 2. Any transfer of funds, other than those processed by automated clearinghouse, made by a fincial institution on behalf of a consumer by means of a service that transfers funds heldetather Federal Resear banks or other depository institutions and that is not sidened primarily totransfer funds on [Proposed] Default Judgment and order for permanent injunction and other equitable relief

behalf of a consumer; 1 Any transaction the primary purpose of which is the purchase or sale 3. 2 of securities or commodities through a ker-dealer registered with or regulated 3 by the Securities and Exchange Commission; 4 Any automatic transfer from a viags account to a demand deposit 4. 5 account pursuant to an agreement betweeonsumer and fanancial institution 6 for the purpose of covering an overdraftmaintaining an agreed upon minimum 7 balance in the consumedemand deposit account; or 8 5. Any transfer of funds which isnitiated by a telehone conversation 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

[PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION

**PAGE 23** 

AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

charitable contribution. "Negative Option Feature means, in an offer or agreement to sell or Μ. provide any good or service, a provision of the consumer's silence or failure to take an affirmtave action to reject a good service or to cancel the agreement is interpreted by the selleprovider as acceptance or continuing acceptance of the offer or agreement. "Preauthorized Electronic Fund Transfer" as defined by the Electronic N. Fund Transfer Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1693a(110)eans an electronic fund transfer authorized in advance to recursation stantially regular intervals. "Receiver means Charlene Koonce, thersen appointed by the Court in Ο. 10 this matter pursuant to the Temporarestraining Order and the Preliminary 11 Injunction. 12 **ORDER** 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 [PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

## II. PROHIBITED BUSINESS ACTIVITY

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defaulting Defendants and their
officers, agents, employees, and attornays, all other persons in active concert
or participation with any offnem, who receive actual tince of this Order, whether
acting directly or indirectly, in connection

That a good or service is free, a bona gift, a trial, without cost; 1. 1 That a good or service is available a minimal processing, service, 2. 2 or administrative fee or without further obligation; 3 3. That a purchase is "risk free" or offered with a satisfaction guarantee 4 or money-back guarantee; 5 That the seller is accredited oted favorably by the Better Business 4. 6 Bureau; 7 5. A seller's affiliation with, or endownement or sponsorship by, any 8 person or entity; 9 The amount that a consumer's oftend debit card will be charged 6. 10 and the timing of the charge(s); 11 That a transaction has beauthorized by a consumer; 7. 12 The dates that any limited tinsales offer begins and ends; 8. 13 The requirements or terms of the seller's refund or cancellation 9. 14 policies; 15 The identity of the seller, including the seller's name, physical 10. 16 address, and customeervice telephone number; 17 The total costs to purchase, receiveuse, and the quantity of, any 11. 18 goods or services that are the subject of the sales offer; 19 12. Any material restriction, limitation condition to purchase, receive, 20 [PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION

**P**AGE 27

AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF



the seller's name, physical address, tendephone number; (vii) the date of the 1 customer's oral authorization.; 2 Failing to obtain written authorization signed or similarly authenticated 3 from consumers for Preauthorized Electic Fund Transfers from the consumer's 4 account; or 5 Failing to provide a copy of a writtenuthorization signed or similarly G. 6 authenticated by a consumer for Preautheof Electronic Fund ransfers from the 7 consumer's account. 8 III. PROHIBITIONS RELATED TO MERCHANT ACCOUNTS 9 10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defaulting Deendants, Defaulting 11 Defendants' officers, agents, employeesd attorneys, and all other persons in 12 active concert or participatin with any of them, who receive actual notice of this 13 Order, whether acting directly or indirtly, in connection with promoting or 14 offering for sale any good service, are permanently strained and enjoined 15 from Credit Card Laundering. 16 IV. MONETARY JUDGMENT 17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that: 18 Judgment in the amount SEVENTY-TWO MILLION SIX HUNDRED Α. 19 AND NINETY-TWO THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED AND TWELVE 20 Dollars (\$72,692,812)s entered in favor of the Commission against Defaulting [PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

1 Tc -.0.04 re64j T\* ( 2 -.0024US7 ( 17 )Tj T\*ENT)TiUNCTION 17

PAGE 33

AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defaulting Defendants make timely submissions to the Commission:

- A. One year after entry of this Ordeach Defaulting Defendamust submit a compliance report, sworn under penalty of perjury:
- 1. Each Defaulting Defendant mus(ta) identify the primary physical, postal, and email addressed telephone number, assistenated points of contact, which representatives of the Commissimay use to communicate with such Defaulting Defendant; (b) identify all solution Defaulting Defendant's businesses by all of their names, teptenone numbers, and physical, postenail, and Internet addresses;
- (c) describe the activities each business, including the goods and services offered, the means of advising, marketing, and sale and the involvement of any other Defendant which efaulting Defendants must

1	name, physical address, and any Interdetress of the business or entity.
2	C. Each Defaulting Defendant must submit to the Commission notice of the
3	filing of any bankruptcy petition, insolven cyroceeding, or similar proceeding by
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
	[PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF  RAGE 36
	rage 30

1	Commission, each Defaulting Defendamust: submit additional compliance
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
	[PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF PAGE 38
	IAGE 30

1	Defaulting Defendant, pursuant to Septio 04(1) of the Fair Credit Reporting
2	Act, 15 U.S.C. §1681b(a)(1).
3	XIII. RECEIVERSHIP TERMINATION
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Charlene Koonceof Scheef & Stone,
5	LLP shall continue to serve as the CrosuReceiver and is hereby directed and
6	authorized to accomplish the following:
7	A. Complte the transfer, liquidation, or other disposition of the
8	assets of the Defaulting Corporate Defented and any other assets ordered to be
9	transferred to the Receiver under throvisions of this Order;
10	B. Prepareile, and pay any peroll tax obligations due on or before
11	the date of the Receiver's reportsdeibed in Subsection C below, for the
12	Defaulting Corporate Defendants;
13	C. Preparend file with the Court a
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
	[PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

1	E. Any money thathe Court finds should be awarded to the	
2	Receiver shall be paid only from the mesnior other assets in the possession of	f,
3	or under the control of, the Receiver. Nortpashall have an obligation to pay an	У
4	money to the Receiver for compensation or expenses;	
5	F. Upon the Court's appeal of the Receiver's final application for	
6	compensation and expenses, distributtento Commission all remaining funds in	
7	partial satisfaction of the judgment. Supayment must be made by electronic	
8	fund transfer in accordanceth instructions to be provided by a representative	of
9	the Commission.	
10	The Receiver must complete all dutiveishin 120 days after the entry of	
11	this Order, but any party or the Receimeay request that the Court extend the	
12	Receiver's term for good cause. Upon completof the above tasks, the duties	of
13	the Receivership shall terminaten, dathe Receiver shall be discharged.	
14	XIV. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION	
15	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court retains jurisdiction of this	
16	matter for purposes of construction, modation, and enforcement of this Order.	
17	00 0000000 41 00dd 1 1 1 0040	
18	SO ORDERED this 22 <sup>d</sup> day of August, 2016.	
19	Heorge H. W.	
20	GEORGE H. WU, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE	
	IDRODOCED DEENLILT ILIDOMENT AND ODDED EOD DEDMANENT IN ILINOTION	

AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF