UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

 \mathbf{v}_{\bullet}

Civil Action No.

ALIMENTATION COUCHE-TARD INC.,

and

CROSSAMERICA PARTNERS LP,

Defendants

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT

PlaintifNALBDC 0.1 d1 0.14 (F)99.1I(in)2.8 I Tr 0.14 ((in)2.8 difNALBein)2.8 CALm2 (.9 (r Alimentation Couche ard Inc.("Couche Tard") and Cros America Patners LP ("CAPL"). By agreement of the parties, the Final Judgment against Couche and CAPL, authorized by Sections (and 16(a)(I)) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C.§§45(I) and 56(a)(I), provides for the payment by Defendants dipoevialties totaling three million five hundred thousand United States dollars (a),000). The parties have agreed in the Stipulation that the Final Judgment may be entered on the motion of either party.

1

¹ Settlement of this proceeding is not subject to the notification requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (nney Act), 15 U.S.C § 16(b)(h), because this is not a civil proceeding brought by or on behalf of the United States under the antitrus the states where the states under the antitrus that the states were stated in the states and the states are stated in the states and the states are stated in the st

BACKGROUND

The Commission has filed this actipursuant to its above ted authority to seek relief for violations of its final administrative ordershich were entered with Defendants' consent As alleged in the Complaint, Defendants lated the Commission's cision and Orderissued in 2018 in Docket No.-4635("Order") by failing to divesten retail fuel and convenience storeroperties ("Retail Fuel Assets") by the deadline contained in the Defendants also failed to provide complete and detailed information about their efforts to divest the Retail Fuel Assets in their compliance repolitisaddition, Defendants violated the related Order to Maintain Assets issued by the Commission failing to maintain the perations of the divestiture propert located in Hibbing, Minnesota ("Hibbing location"). Finally Defendants failed to provide complete and detailed information about their efforts to maintain the operations of the Hibbing location in their compliance reports, and failed to timely notify the Commission that the libbing location had ceased operations prior to divestite tellowing an investigation by the Commission, Defendants and the Commission reached a settlement of the Complaintallegations with the attach dipulation for the entry of a Final dyment to pay civil penalties. The penalty will both penalize and deter Defendants from any future violations of Commission orderand will deter similarly situated respondents from violating the Commission's ordenso3.5 .5 2.8 (e)v-6 (I)-3.2 ()[4m]

Docket No. G4635. Section 5)(of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §45)(authorizes the imposition of civil penalties

Commission orders, that the Commission requires compliance withdess and violations of Commission orders carry seriocus needed to will