

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Federal Trade Commission

WASHINGTON, D.C.20580

Joint Statement of Chair Lina M. Khan,
Commissioner Rebecca Kelly Saughter, and CommissionerAlvaro M. Bedoya
Coulter Motor Company, LLC
Commission File No. 2223033

August 1, 2024

Today, the Commission ad the State of Arizona have charge Coulter, adealership group, with unlawfully misrepresenting pores to customers, unlawfully charging customers without consent, and unlawfully imposing highersts on Latino customets on similarly situated non-Latio White customers.

The complaint charges that Coulter lured people oits dealerships by marketing inaccurately lowprices which buyers would only discover—f at all—once they had expended time visiting the dealership and beginning the urchase process As allegel in the complaint, Coulter also inflated costs by tacking on charges add-onseven when customers ad not consented to the mand, in some cases, even when customers expressly declined the management of the complaint alleges

such as Coulter The Federal Reserve has g saidthat a facially neutral policy that disproportionately excludes or burdens persons on a prohibited basis can violate ECOA. As the Federal Reserve's official staff commentary notes, ECOA tanish plementing regulation (Regulation B) may prohibit a practice that is "discriminatory in effect because it has a disproportionately negativCct on a prohibited basis, evn though the creditor has noCent to discriminate and the practice appears neutral on its face."

NonethelessCom-5.1oE-5.1oissioner Ferguson says that he does not necessarily agree that disparate-ct cla are cognable under ECOA. He writes that an application of Inclusive Com-.1oE-2 (uni)-2 (t)-2 (i)-2 (e)4 (s)]TJ /TT0 1 Tf 5.28 0 Td [(—w)2 (he)4 (r)3 (e)4 (t)-2 (he)-6 (S)-4 (E-5.1ootiv. S-5.1opecifically, the Ctohatt antited crimination statutes flust-5.1o be const-5.1orued to encompass disparaite pact claims when their text refers to the consequences of actions and not just-5.1o to the E-5.1oindset of actors, and where that erpretation is consistent with statutoryCpurpose."

Com-5.1oE-5.1oissioner Ferguson wres that he does not-5.1o believe that the text of ECOA criterion, not-5.1owithstanding that the statute does refeorted periods of actionand that disparate ct is consistent with ECOA's "st-5.1oatutoryCpurbose."

No court agrees with Com-5.1oE-5.1oissioner Ferguson. Even following

	-		

believes th