The Justice Department's Antitrust Division and the Federal Trade Commission announced today that they have withdrawn the Antitrust Guidelines for Collaborations Among Competitors ("Collaboration Guidelines") issued in April 2000. The Agencies are committed to vigorous antitrust enforcement on a case-by-case basis in the area of competitor collaborations because such collaborations can harm competition and subvert the competitive process.

In the 24 years since the Collaboration Guidelines were issued, the Supreme Court and the federal courts of appeals have decided many significant cases addressing collaborations involving competitors and advancing the jurisprudence interpreting Section 1 of the Sherman Act.¹ The Collaboration Guidelines do not reflect this evolution. Moreover, the Collaboration Guidelines rely in part on outdated and withdrawn policy statements,² and risk creating safe harbors that have no basis in federal antitrust statutes. They also rely on outdated analytical methods that fail to capture advances in computer science, business strategy, and economic disciplines that help enforcers assess, as a factual matter, the competitive implications of corporate collaborations. Furthermore, the Collaboration Guidelines fail to address the competitive implications of modern business combinations and rapidly changing technologies such as artificial intelligence, algorithmic pricing models, vertical integration, and roll ups. As a result, while some specific aspects of the Collaboration Guidelines may accurately reflect the state of the law, the Collaboration Guidelines no longer provide reliable guidance to the public about how enforcers assess the legality of collaborations involving competitors.

For these reasons, the Antitrust Division and Federal Trade Commission are withdrawing the Collaboration Guidelines.³ Businesses considering collaborating with competitors are

Commission, FTC and DDssu Updated Antitrut Gidelines for the Licensing of Intellectul Poperty (dn. 3) D) <u>https://c.govnew</u> -events/newpress -releases/// -doj-issu -pdated- antitrut- gidelines licensing-intellectul- property; Pess Release, Department of Active, for of the Active Department

Whdraw Wated Efforcement PlicyStatements (Feb39, , or otherise impact other gidance documents, such as the Agencie Antitrust PlicyStatement on Sharing of ClorsecuityInformation (ClorsecuityStatement)', that reference of discuss the Collaboration Gidelines. In particular, the Agencies stand in the Clorsecuity Statement that "properly designed sharing of clor threat information shold not raise antitrust concerns, "given the value pupose of sharing, and the very technical nature of such information. Furthermore, this indicand statement does not prescrib how the Agencies is an antitrust issues raised local boost of sparing.

¹ See, e.g., NCAA v. Alston, 594 U.S. 69, 88-92 (2021); American Needle Inc. v. NFL, 560 U.S. 183, 191, 202 (2010); Texaco Inc. v. Dagher, 547 U.S. 1, 6 (2006); Deslandes v. McDonald's USA, LLC, 81 F.4th 699, 702 (7th Cir. 2023), cert. denied, 144 S. Ct. 1057 (2024); Ueommissiorrelease-**2** merger-gidelines ; Pess Rease, Federal Trade

encouraged to review the relevant statutes and caselaw to assess whether a collaboration would violate the law.